

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/973,376	10/09/2001		Dong-Jun Lee	678-636 (P9745)	5884	
28249	7590	10/03/2005		EXAMINER		
DILWORT 333 EARLE		RRESE, LLP ON BLVD.		MARCELO,	MELVIN C	
UNIONDALE, NY 11553				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				2662		

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	\V			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/973,376	LEE, DONG-JUN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Melvin Marcelo	2662		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 O</u>	<u>ctober 2001</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	•			
Disposition of Claims				
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 3 and 4 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.2,5 and 6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 				
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 October 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)	,, m			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2, lines 8-9 recites "a hardware like manner." The specification discloses "a hardware manner" (page 6, line 1). It is not clear what features would constitute "like" hardware. The examiner assumes that "hardware" is used to distinguish from "software," but it is not clear whether "hardware" and "hardware like" is intended to convey the same limitation or different limitations.

Claim 6, line 10 recites "a hardware like manner."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipate by Kim (US 6,594,778 B1).

Kim teaches the duplexing method in a system duplexed into a first unit and a second unit (Figure 3) including a duplexing channel (Duplexing Bus), duplexing control logic section

Application/Control Number: 09/973,376

Art Unit: 2662

(Logic Units 33, 34, 43,44) and the active processor (CPU 31). Kim concurrently accesses the first local memory (Memory 32) and second local memory (Memory 42) using the logic units based on a request by an active processor (Column 2, lines 44-59, "Concurrent Writing Operation").

Page 3

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 3 and 4 are allowed.
- 6. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 2 and 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melvin Marcelo whose telephone number is 571-272-3125. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 571-272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,376

Art Unit: 2662

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melvin Marcelo Primary Examiner Art Unit 2662

September 19, 2005